UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,519	12/12/2003	Jaeho Kim	GUID.160PA (03-512)	1580
	7590 03/18/200 ORTH & FUNK, LLC	EXAMINER		
8009 34TH AV SUITE 125			HOLMES, REX R	
MINNEAPOLI	S, MN 55425		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2008	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,519	KIM ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

F	REX HOLMES	3762	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 February 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION	ON FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the application, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	e same day as filing a Not plies: (1) an amendment, a l (with appeal fee) in comp	ice of Appeal. To avoid abar uffidavit, or other evidence, w liance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date ser than SIX MONTHS from the	mailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later th may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	sion and the corresponding a prtened statutory period for rep	mount of the fee. The appropria bly originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS	ion thereof (37 CFR 41.37	(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu  (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or	ideration and/or search (se ;	ee NOTE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· -		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	vable if submitted in a sepa	arate, timely filed amendmer	it canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		☐ will be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under	appeal and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation on the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims a	after entry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but of See Continuation Sheet.			ce because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (P'</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	TO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	//George R Evanis Primary Examiner,		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant argues that Fig. 12 and pages 42-43 provide basis for the limitation "using only the single cardiac signal". The examiner respectfully disagrees. As previously noted in the final rejection mailed 1/30/08, the negative limitation/exclusionary proviso must have basis in the original disclosure. The specification does not recite that the device uses only a single signal to classify. The mere lack of a description of other signals, in one embodiment does not give proper basis to claim a negative limitation/exclusionary proviso of using only a single signal to classify. It is further noted that the double patenting rejections still stand as no terminal disclaimers were received by the office.